Cloud Computing & Copyright Levies & Bird & Bird

CERDI International Summer Seminar 12 July 2012

Julien Debussche
Associate Bird & Bird LLP
julien.debussche@twobirds.com

1. Context

- Copyright levy systems in national legislations since the 1980-90's (e.g.: in France since 3 July 1985 ("loi Lang"); in Germany since the law of 16 July 1998)
- Community levy system introduced by Directive 2001/29/EC (22 May 2011) – but no harmonisation of model for fair compensation
- Original aim:
 - To charge single-function devices designed and intended to be used for private copying and reprography of works belonging to the "family circle" (« copie privée »)
- Traditional justification:
 - Recognition that it would be impossible to prevent private copying
 - Right holders had to be awarded a compensation for the copyright revenues lost due to the unlicensed copies made of their works for

age 2 private uses

Bird & Bird

- 2. Outline of the issue
- The rapid pace of technological change is putting the traditional justification under pressure
- Necessity to re-think the current copyright levy system(s)
- Main concerns:
 - Remunerate/compensate right holders
 - Allow cross border trade (and consumer satisfaction)
 - Take into account technological changes
- Two debates/questions:
 - The legitimacy and legality of the current system
 - The adequacy and pertinence of the current system

3. Issues of the current system

a. The legitimacy and legality of the current system

- Growing number of legal disputes at national and EU levels challenging the legitimacy and legal certainty of the existing system(s)
 - CJEU Padawan of 21 October 2010 (C-467/08)
 - CJEU Stichting de Thuiskopie of 16 June 2011 (C-462/09)
 - CJEU Austromechana v. Amazon pending (C-521/11)

E.g. highly challenged in Belgium and Austria (applying high levies)

- Adverse consequences for authors (since Padawan) as national Courts and institutions:
 - Enjoined collecting societies to massive refunds
 - Annulled existing levy tariffs

E.g.: Spain abolished its copyright levy system in 2011 (now levies calculated in the State budged)

3. Issues of the current system

b. The adequacy and pertinence of the current system (1)

- Digitalization of copyrighted content has changed the way contents are used
- The rationale underlying the levy system is no longer pertinent (new substituting channels to compensate)
- Consumers change the way they access to entertainment due to the omnipresence of Internet
- Increasing:
 - Number of mass market multifunction and multipurpose digital devices
 - Fit-for purpose applications
 - Versatility

3. Issues of the current system

b. The adequacy and pertinence of the current system (2)

- Consumers want to access contents of their choice at anytime and on a range of devices switching to whichever device is best suitable at each moment of the day
- Consumers' contents are stored and centralised in a single database on which are synchronised the various devices
- Technology enabling private copying increases the value of the legitimately purchased content (use goods in new ways)
- Streaming and cloud computing change moreover the way we use content
 - Streaming: releases from downloading and storage constraints
 - Cloud computing: allows delocalisation of storage operations, and virtual uploads (cloud providers often store once each work for all subscribers)

Cloud Computing & Copyright Levies
A need for change

4. A need for change

- The current system
 - Acts as a barrier to cross border trade
 - Prevents consumers from benefiting from the single market
 - Does not take into account the growth of e-commerce
 - Does not take into account "pure player" online retailers

Possible consequence

- Online players may increasingly be confronted with double payment issues in cases of exports
 - Due to the lack of harmonisation in the EU regarding the person liable for paying the levy (manufacturer or retailer)
 - Usinesses Complex and unpredictable environment for businesses reluctance to sell cross-border
 - ♦ Induces manufacturers to avoid/minimize levy payments (withdraw or downgrade devices)

4. A need for change

- The current device-based levy is not compatible with:
 - The fast developing digital market
 - The emergence of streaming and cloud services
 - The increased presence of multifunction devices (also used to store UGC and licensed content)
- The system does not take into account the actual making of private copies or professional use
- Streaming and cloud services result in the reduction of private copying (thus also the compensation due) because:
 - Cloud computing is <u>not a device</u>
 - Streaming does not constitute an act of private copy

4. A need for change

- With the increase of online distribution, copying of content is often authorised and paid for by consumers ⇒ license
 - Dual system: levy + license
- E.g. Consumer buys music and is granted a limited license to use + benefits from the legal license on private copy/reprography for which they pay a levy on recording devices
 - Consequently applying levies allowing access to licensed content would result in a double (or triple) compensation

Thank you & Bird & Bird

Julien Debussche Associate Bird & Bird LLP

Julien.debussche@twobirds.com